

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRANSPERFECT GLOBAL, INC.,  
TRANSPERFECT TRANSLATIONS  
INTERNATIONAL, INC., and  
TRANSLATIONS.COM, INC.,

No. C 10-2590 CW

VERDICT FORM

Plaintiffs,

v.

MOTIONPOINT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

**FILED**

JUL 12 2013

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND

We, the jury in the above-titled action, return the following  
verdict on the questions submitted to us:

TransPerfect's '022 Patent

1. Direct Infringement: Did TransPerfect prove, by a  
preponderance of the evidence, that MotionPoint's accused  
products directly infringe the following claims of  
TransPerfect's '022 patent?

Claim 11	<u>✓</u> Yes	<u>      </u> No
Claim 17	<u>✓</u> Yes	<u>      </u> No
Claim 23	<u>✓</u> Yes	<u>      </u> No
Claim 24	<u>✓</u> Yes	<u>      </u> No
Claim 26	<u>✓</u> Yes	<u>      </u> No
Claim 27	<u>✓</u> Yes	<u>      </u> No

2. Contributory Infringement: Did TransPerfect prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that MotionPoint contributed to the infringement of any of the following claims of TransPerfect's '022 patent by another?

Claim 11 ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 17 ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 23 ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 24 ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 26 ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 27 ☐ Yes ☒ No

3. Inducing Infringement: Did TransPerfect prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that MotionPoint induced infringement by others of any of the following claims of TransPerfect's '022 patent?

Claim 11: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 17: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 23: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 24: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 26: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 27: ☐ Yes ☒ No

4. Invalidity (Anticipation): Has MotionPoint proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of TransPerfect's '022 patent are invalid as anticipated?

Claim 11: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 17: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 23: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 26: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 27: ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. Invalidity (Obviousness): Has MotionPoint proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of TransPerfect's '022 patent are invalid as obvious?

Claim 11: \_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ No

Claim 17: \_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ No

Claim 23: \_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ No

Claim 24: \_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ No

Claim 26: \_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ No

Claim 27: \_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ No

6. If you have found at least one claim of TransPerfect's '022 patent to be infringed -- whether directly (question 1), or contributorily (question 2), or by inducement (question 3) -- and not to be invalid (questions 4 and 5), what total damages do you find for TransPerfect, if any:

\$ 1,002,006

7. If you found and used a reasonable royalty rate to calculate these damages, what rate did you find?

4 %

MotionPoint's '960, '479, and '817 Patents

8. Direct Infringement: Did Defendant MotionPoint prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that TransPerfect's accused products directly infringe the following claims of MotionPoint's '960, '479, or '817 patents?

Claim 32 of the '960 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 34 of the '960 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 16 of the '479 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 18 of the '479 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 12 of the '817 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 19 of the '817 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

9. Willful Infringement: If you answered "yes" to any of the claims in questions 8, has MotionPoint proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that TransPerfect's infringement of the '960, '479, or '817 patents was willful?

Claim 32 of the '960 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 34 of the '960 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 16 of the '479 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 18 of the '479 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 12 of the '817 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Claim 19 of the '817 patent: ☐ Yes ☒ No

10. Invalidity (Anticipation): Has TransPerfect proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of MotionPoint's '960, '479, or '817 patents are invalid as anticipated?

Claim 32 of the '960 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 34 of the '960 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 16 of the '479 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 18 of the '479 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 12 of the '817 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 19 of the '817 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

11. Invalidity (Obviousness): Has TransPerfect proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of MotionPoint's '960, '479, or '817 patents are invalid as obvious?

Claim 32 of the '960 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 34 of the '960 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 16 of the '479 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 18 of the '479 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 12 of the '817 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 19 of the '817 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

12. Invalidity (Statutory Bar): Has TransPerfect proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following patent claims were not filed within the time required by law?

Claim 32 of the '960 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 34 of the '960 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 16 of the '479 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 18 of the '479 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 12 of the '817 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Claim 19 of the '817 patent: ☒ Yes ☐ No

13. If you have found at least one claim of MotionPoint's '960, '479, or '817 patents to be infringed (question 8) and not to be invalid (questions 10, 11, and 12), what total damages do you find for MotionPoint, if any:

\$ 0

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your determinations. Please have the presiding juror sign, date and return this form.

Dated:

  
Presiding Juror